



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,439	01/23/2004	Richard J. Gallagher	1439/11	6832
25297	7590	08/01/2005	EXAMINER	
JENKINS, WILSON & TAYLOR, P. A.			EREZO, DARWIN P	
3100 TOWER BLVD			ART UNIT	
SUITE 1400			PAPER NUMBER	
DURHAM, NC 27707			3731	

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/763,439

Applicant(s)

GALLAGHER ET AL.

Examiner

Darwin P. Erez

Art Unit

3731

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-12 and 14-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-12 and 14-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/5/04.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on 5/19/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-12, 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,062,846 to Oh et al. in view of US 5,501,693 to Gravener.

(claims 1-3, 8) Oh teaches a surgical clip **10** comprising a first **12** and second **14** leg members joined by a resilient hinge **16**, each leg member having an inner and outer surface, the first leg member terminating at its distal end in a deflectable hook **22** member curved toward the second leg member, the second leg member terminating at its distal end in a locking portion complementary to the hook member, the hook member having a continuously curved outer surface (Fig. 1). Oh also teaches a means for securing the vessel when the clip is in the closed position (protrusions **1302,1304**).

However, Oh is silent with regards to the clip comprising complementary parts of an interlock mechanism formed along a portion of the vessel clamping inner surface of each of the first and second leg members; and wherein the interlock mechanism includes a ridge portion and a groove portion that are aligned when the clip is in the closed position.

Gravener also teaches a surgical clip for occluding a vessel comprising a first and second arm, and wherein complementary parts of an interlock mechanism is formed along a portion of the vessel clamping inner surface of each of the first and second leg members, wherein the interlock mechanism includes a ridge portion **36** and a groove portion **38**; and wherein the ridge and groove portions are aligned in the closed position (Fig. 6)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the clip of Oh to include the interlock mechanism taught by Gravener because the ridge and groove (tongue and groove, as recited in the reference) mechanism provides for a high degree of clamping force that is optimum for vessel occlusion and clip retention (Gravener; col. 1, lines 53-55; col. 3, lines 43-45).

(claims 11, 12 and 15) The above combination of Oh/Gravener teaches all the limitation of the claims, as recited in the rejections above, and including a clip-locking mechanism formed by said deflectable hook member **22** formed at the distal of said first leg member and a complementary locking portion **61** at the distal end of the second leg portion; wherein the hook member deflects about the distal end of the second leg member to lock the clip in a closed position (transitioning from Fig. 1 to Fig. 3).

(claims 14 and 16) As seen in Fig. 2 of Gravener, both the ridge and the groove extends along the inner surface of either the first and second legs from a proximal end to a distal end.

(claims 5, 9 and 17) Oh teaches the first leg member having a concave inner surface and a convex outer surface; and the second leg member having a convex inner surface and a concave outer surface (Fig. 1).


(claims 6-7, 10 and 18) Oh teaches the clip having bosses (68,70,72,74) coupled to the first and second leg members for engagement with a clip applier, the bosses joined in pairs to opposite sides of the first leg member between the hinge and the hook portion, and to opposite sides of the second leg member at the distal end of the second leg member, the second leg member having sharp pointed members extending from the bosses (Fig. 6).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erez who's telephone number is (571) 272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anh Tuan T. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Darwin P. Erez
Examiner
Art Unit 3731

de